Correlations between pelvic pain, low back pain, and postural stability in healthy young women during the menstrual cycle

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5114/pq/188341

Hosam Magdy Metwally Abd Alhamid¹⁰, Kerolous Ishak Shehata Kelini²⁰, Saied Mohamed Ibrahim³⁰, Ahmed Magdy Alshimy^{4,50}

¹ Physical Therapy Department, Faculty of Allied Medical Sciences, Al-Ahliyya Amman University, Amman, Jordan

² Department of Physical Therapy, Faculty of Applied Medical Sciences, Al-Zaytoonah University of Jordan, Amman, Jordan

- ³ Physical Therapy Department for Basic Sciences, Faculty of Physical Therapy, Al Ryada University for Science and Technology, Menofia, Egypt
- ⁴ Physical Therapy Department, Mohammed Al-Mana College for Medical Sciences, Dammam, Saudi Arabia
- ⁵ Physical Therapy Department for Neuromuscular Disorders and Its Surgery, Faculty of Physical Therapy, Al Ryada University for Science and Technology, Menofia, Egypt

Abstract

Introduction. The menstrual cycle is governed by hormonal changes and is divided into three phases based on the events in the ovary (ovarian cycle) and uterus (uterine cycle). This study investigated correlations between pelvic pain, low back pain, and postural stability in healthy young women during their menstrual cycle.

Methods. Eighty-five female patients were randomly assigned to participate in this study. The outcome measures were pelvic pain (functional pelvic pain scale [FPPS], low back pain (back pain functional scale [BPFS]), and postural stability (Biodex Balance System).

Results. There was a significant moderate negative correlation between the FPPS and BPFS scores (r = -0.437, p < 0.001). However, there was no significant correlation between FPPS and overall stability index (OSI) scores (r = -0.054, p = 0.625) and BPFS and OSI scores (r = 0.143, p = 0.192).

Conclusions. There was a significant moderate negative correlation between FPPS and BPFS scores. Many young females experienced pelvic pain related to back pain, which also affected functional ability.

Key words: pelvic pain, lower back pain, postural stability, menstrual cycle

Introduction

Menstruation encompasses phases involving alterations to the endometrial lining of the uterus and the ovarian follicles [1]. The phases are separated into two cycles, with the ovarian cycle alternating between the follicular and luteal phases and the uterine cycle consisting of menstruation and proliferative and secretory phases [2].

The follicular phase begins on the first day of menstruation and ends when an immature egg is released from one of the ovaries during ovulation. A fluid-filled sac, the follicle, houses immature eggs in the ovaries during the follicular period.

Ovarian follicles mature throughout the follicular phase, readying one of them for release during ovulation. Since the endometrium changes at the same time, the follicular phase is often referred to as the proliferative phase.

During the menstrual cycle, there are notable periodic fluctuations in the serum levels of sex hormones. The spinal and pelvic tissues may be affected by these hormones, and the frequency of back pain may change throughout the menstrual cycle. According to research, 75–90% of women report feeling uncomfortable during their periods, with 42–60% reporting moderate-to-severe pain [3]. Menstrual low back pain (MLBP) is the third most common type of menstrual discomfort, reported by 46–56% of women [4]. Some women experience period discomfort during the first few days of menstruation, which may extend from the belly to the upper thighs and back, and hormonal birth control may alter the menstrual cycle.

During the follicular phase of the menstrual cycle, muscular relaxation dramatically increases [5]. Furthermore, oestrogen

receptors present in the anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) may have an impact on ligament elasticity. ACL effects can occur when oestrogen levels peak during the menstrual cycle, which can affect postural stability [6].

Persistent pelvic pain affects up to one in four women [7, 8], and almost all women experience pain during menstruation [7, 8]. The effects of pelvic pain on worthwhile endeavours, connections, and intimacy are thought to be the cause of the significant inverse relationship between pelvic pain sufferers' quality of life and their level of discomfort [9].

The ability to maintain the centre of mass, the stability limit, is referred to as postural stability. The greatest deflection of the body from a vertical midline posture without losing balance is known as the limit of stability (LOS) [10]. Since oestrogen can impact the neuromuscular system directly and indirectly, it can have a substantial influence on postural stability and muscle coordination, which may be due to pelvic pain, increased connective tissue elasticity, and ACL ligament thinning [11].

Subjects and methods

Design and settings

This study had a cross-sectional design.

Sample size calculation

The sample size was calculated before the investigation using G*power 3.1.9 (Heinrich-Heine-University, Düsseldorf,

Correspondence address: Hosam Magdy Metwally Abd Alhamid, Faculty of Allied Medical Sciences, Al-Ahliyya Amman University, Al-Saro, Al-Salt, Amman, Jordan, e-mail: h.metwally@ammanu.edu.jo; https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6179-9280

Received: 20.20.2023 Accepted: 07.05.2024

Citation: Alhamid HMMA, Kelini KIS, Ibrahim SM, Alshimy AM. Correlations between pelvic pain, low back pain, and postural stability in healthy young women during the menstrual cycle. Physiother Quart. 2025;33(2):30–33; doi: https://doi.org/10.5114/pq/188341.

Germany). Power (1-b error probability) was 0.95, effect size (*p*) was 0.3, and type I error was $\alpha = 0.05$, according to *t*-tests (Point-Biserial Correlation). As such, the minimum expected sample size was 85.

Participants

The study included a single group of 85 eligible females aged 18 to 22 years who were unmarried and experienced pelvic pain, low back pain, or postural instability throughout the follicular phase of the menstrual cycle. All participants had a body mass index (BMI) between 19 and 24 and were university students exposed to daily stress and activities during their menstrual cycle.

Patients with lumbar spondylosis, lumbar disc prolapses, sacroiliac joint arthritis, low back trauma, and a BMI of more than 24 were excluded.

Outcome measures

The Biodex Balance System (BBS) assessed postural stability, the functional back pain scale (FBPS) measured low back pain, and the functional pelvic pain scale (FPPS) evaluated pelvic pain.

Functional pelvic pain scale

The FPPS is a behavioural pain intensity category rating scale involving a self-reporting questionnaire listing a series of activities of day-to-day living (bladder and bowel function, intercourse, walking, running, lifting, working, and sleeping). Patients report their pain intensity as it relates to each function [12]. The FPPS has a 32-point rating scale, with the highest score achieved indicating the patient's full extent of physical infirmity. Additionally, there is an adjusted score that ranges from 0 (0%) (no pain and normal function) to 32 (100%) (pain prevents functioning) [13].

Back pain functional scale

The BPFS is an arbitrary scale used to gauge a patient's physical function following low back pain. It is straightforward and easy to comprehend and provide to patients. The scale has a maximum score of 60 and is based on the World Health Organization's International Classification of Functions (ICF). Responses to the BFPS are based on a Likert scale (0 to 5), with the patient's highest score reflecting their greatest physical potential. An adjusted score, which ranges from 0 (0%), an inability to complete any work, to 60 (100%), no trouble in any activity, is also included [14].

Biodex balance system

The BBS (Biodex Medical System, Inc., NY, USA) measures postural stability and features a multiaxial standing platform that can be adjusted to produce different levels of platform tilt or instability (Figure 1). The system allows up to 20° of foot platform tilt, which permits the ankle joint mechanoreceptors to be stimulated maximally. The BBS measures, in degrees, the tilt about each axis during dynamic conditions and calculates a medial-lateral stability index (MLSI), anteriorposterior stability index (APSI), and an overall stability index (OSI). These indexes represent fluctuations around a zero point established before testing when the platform is stable. A high OSI score indicates poor balance, and the patient's maximum overall score would be 1.60. The patient's overall



Figure 1. Biodex Balance System consisting of (a) display module, (b) support handle, and (c) force platform

platform balancing ability is thought to be most accurately predicted by the OSI score. The device's screen, which has a height-adjustable stand, and the curser, which shows the position and activity of the patient while attempting to preserve balance and stillness, are both accessible to the patient [15].

Platform stability can be varied during this test by selecting (more options) from the postural stability testing screen. Trial time, number of trials, starting and ending platform stability, rest countdowns, and bilateral tests can be set.

When performing the postural stability test, the support handles and display height were positioned using the patients' protocol, tilt was set for patient comfort, and data were recorded and entered, including name, age, height, and weight. The BBS offers eight levels of platform control and a static force setting, with level eight of the platform control selected in this study. The patient was positioned on the system and had the test protocol explained to them, after which they pressed start on the display to activate the cursor and moved it to the centre point on the grid.

Statistical analysis

SPSS version 28 (IBM Corp., NY, USA) was used for data management and statistical analysis. The Shapiro–Wilk test and direct data visualisation techniques assessed the normality of the quantitative data. The mean and standard deviation served as a summary of the quantitative data, while numbers and percentages represented the abridged forms of categorical data. Pearson's correlation coefficient was used for correlation analysis. Each statistical test was two-sided, with significance set at p < 0.05.

Results

Patient demographic data

The study included 85 female participants, with mean values for age (20.36 \pm 1.43 years), height (164.65 \pm 7.57 cm), weight (60.16 \pm 6.16 kg), and BMI (22.07 \pm 1.68 kg/m²) shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Sludy participant demographics $(n = 0.5)$	s (n = 85)	demographics	. Study participant	Table 1.
-----------------------------------------------------	------------	--------------	---------------------	----------

Items	Mean ± SD	Minimum	Maximum
Age (years)	20.36 ± 1.43	18.0	22.0
Height (cm)	164.65 ± 7.57	150.0	179.0
Weight (kg)	60.16 ± 6.16	45.0	75.0
BMI (kg/m ²)	22.07 ± 1.68	19.0	24.0

BMI – body mass index

Descriptive statistics of all measured variables

Table 2 shows the descriptive statistics for all the variables measured.

Table 2. Descriptive statistics for all variables meas

Variables	Mean ± SD	Minimum	Maximum
FPPs (scores)	12.05 ± 5.19	2.00	20.0
BPFs (scores)	29.96 ± 8.92	14.0	49.0
OSI (scores)	0.64 ± 0.38	0.20	1.60

FPPS – functional pelvic pain scale, BPFS – back pain functional scale, OSI – overall stability index

Correlation between functional pelvic pain scale, back pain functional scale, and overall stability index scores

Scores from the FPPS and BPFS had a moderately significant negative correlation (r = -0.437, p = 0.001). There was no correlation between the FPPS and OSI scores (r = -0.054, p = 0.625) or the BPFS and OSI scores (r = 0.143, p = 0.192), as shown in Figure 2 and Table 3.

Table 3. Correlations between functional pelvic pain scale, back pain functional scale, and overall stability index scores

Variables	BPFS score		FPPs score	
variables	<i>r</i> -value	<i>p</i> -value	<i>r</i> -value	<i>p</i> -value
FPPS score	-0.437*	< 0.001*	-	-
OSI score	0.143	0.192	-0.054	0.625

FPPS – functional pelvic pain scale, BPFS – back pain functional scale, OSI – overall stability index

* significant



Figure 2. Correlations between functional pelvic pain scale and back pain functional scale scores

Discussion

The current study examined the correlation between pelvic pain, low back pain, and postural stability in young and healthy menstruating women using the FPPS, BPFS, and BBS. The outcomes showed a significant moderate negative correlation between FPPS and BPFS scores. However, the FPPS and BPFS scores did not significantly correlate with the OSI scores.

Menstruation is a normal biological function that signifies a healthy reproductive system, but it can result in physical issues, as highlighted in this study. As such, young women must be aware of and skilled in managing premenstrual and menstrual symptoms [16].

Numerous studies have shown that severe menstruation symptoms make it difficult to carry out typical day-to-day activities and significantly affect university students' quality of life and academic involvement [17].

According to recent research, compared to women without low back pain, women with low back pain had pelvic floor dysfunction. Such pelvic dysfunction and pelvic floor muscle weakness could lead to low back pain and an increased lumbar lordotic curve [18].

Young women who were exposed to everyday stress from tests and university activities during the follicular phase of the menstrual cycle had anterior pelvic tilting as a result of their posture, which exacerbated pelvic discomfort by increasing the lumbar lordotic curve and back pain as a compensatory measure.

All of the young women had a healthy BMI, participated in a variety of sports, and had normal muscle power. However, the pelvic and back pain they experienced during the follicular phase of the menstrual cycle did not influence their balance for the reasons previously indicated.

There were a number of drawbacks to this study, with some participants afraid of falling during the BBS test and others having to travel a considerable distance to get to the BBS test location since most hospitals and clinics lack a BBS.

Conclusions

There was a significant moderate negative correlation between the FPPS and BFPS scores. However, the FPPS and BFPS scores did not correlate with the OSI. Many young females experience pelvic pain related to back pain, which also affects functional ability.

Ethical approval

The research related to human use has complied with all the relevant national regulations and institutional policies, has followed the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki, and has been approved by the Cairo University Research Ethics Committee (approval No.: REC/012/004380), and was entered into ClinicalTrials.gov with an identifier (NCT05767463).

Informed consent

Informed consent has been obtained from all individuals included in this study. The participants signed a written consent form and received information about the study before they were allowed to participate.

Disclosure statement

No author has any financial interest or received any financial benefit from this research.

Conflict of interest

The authors state no conflict of interest.

Funding

This research received no external funding.

References

- [1] Richards JS. The ovarian cycle. Vitam Horm. 2018;107: 1–25; doi: 10.1016/bs.vh.2008.01.009.
- [2] Munro MG, Critchley HOD, Fraser IS; FIGO Menstrual Disorders Committee. The two FIGO systems for normal and abnormal uterine bleeding symptoms and classification of causes of abnormal uterine bleeding in the reproductive years: 2018 revisions. Int J Gynaecol Obstet. 2018;143(3):393–408; doi: 10.1002/ijgo.12666
- [3] Chen H-M, Wang H-H, Chen C-H, Hu H-M. Effectiveness of a stretching exercise program on low back pain and exercise self-efficacy among nurses in Taiwan: a randomized clinical trial. Pain Manag Nurs. 2014;15(1):283– 91; doi: 10.1016/j.pmn.2012.10.003.
- [4] Chen HM, Chen CH. Factors and consequences of menstrual distress in adolescent girls. Kaohsiung. J Med Sci. 2005;21:121–7; doi: 10.1016/S1607-551X (09)70 288-8.
- [5] Sarwar R, Niclos BB, Rutherford OM. Changes in muscle strength, relaxation rate, and fatigue during the human menstrual cycle. J Physiol. 1996;493(Pt 1):267–72; doi: 10.1113/jphysiol. 1996.sp021381.
- [6] Yu WD, Liu SH, Hatch JD, Panossian V, Finerman GA. Effect of estrogen on cellular metabolism of the human anterior cruciate ligament. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 1999; 366:229–38; doi: 10.1097/00003086-199909000-00030.
- [7] Zondervan KT, Yudkin PL, Vessey MP, Jenkinson CP, Dawes MG, Barlow DH, Kennedy SH. Community prevalence of chronic pelvic pain in women and associated illness behavior. Br J Gen Pract. 2001;51(468):541–7.
- [8] Sigalos JT, Pastuszak AW. Chronic orchialgia: epidemiology, diagnosis and evaluation. Trans Androl Urol. 2017;6(Suppl 1): 37–43; doi: 10.21037/tau.2017.05.23.
- [9] Karimi N, Ebrahimi I, Kahrizi S, Torkaman G. Evaluation of postural balance using the Biodex balance system in participants with and without low back pain. Pak J Med Health Sci. 2008;24(3):372–7; doi: 10.1016/j.jmpt.2007. 12.005.

- [10] Adkin AL, Bloem BR, Allum JH. Trunk sway measurements during stance and gait tasks in patients with disease. Gait Posture. 2005;22(3):240–49; doi: 10.1016/j. gaitpost.2004.09.009.
- [11] Rozzi SL, Lephart SM, Fu FH. Effects of muscular fatigue on knee joint laxity and neuromuscular characteristics in male and female athletes. J Athl Train. 1999; 34(2):106–14.
- [12] Latthe P, Latthe M, Say L, Gülmezoglu M, Khan KS. WHO systematic review of the prevalence of chronic pelvic pain: a neglected reproductive health morbidity. BMC Public Health. 2006;6:177; doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-6-177.
- [13] Kresh AJ, Seifer DB, Sachs LB, Barrese I. Laparoscopy in 100 women with chronic pelvic pain. Obstet Gynecol. 1984;64(5):672–4.
- [14] Stratford PW, Binkley J M. A comparison study of the back pain functional scale and Roland Morris Questionnaire. North American Orthopaedic Rehabilitation Research Network. J Rheumatol. 2000;27(8):1928–36.
- [15] Laursen BS, Bajaj P, Olesen AS, Delmar C, Arendt-Nielsen L. Health-related quality of life and quantitative pain measurement in females with chronic non-malignant pain. Eur J Pain. 2005;9(3):267; doi: 10.1016/j.ejpain. 2004.07.003.
- [16] Cronjé HS, Kritzinger IE. Menstruation: symptoms, management, and attitudes of university students. Int J Gynecol Obstet. 1991;35(2):147–50; doi: 10.1016/0020-7292(91)90818-p.
- [17] Al-Shahrani AM, Miskeen E, Shroff F, Elnour S, Algahtani R, Youssry I, Ahmed S. Premenstrual syndrome, and its impact on the quality of life of female medical students at Bisha University, Saudi Arabia. J Multidiscip Healthc. 2021;14:2373–79; doi: 10.2147/JMDH.S327893.
- [18] Arab AM, Behbahani RB, Lorestani L, Azari A. Assessment of pelvic floor muscle function in women with and without low back pain using manual transabdominal ultrasonography therapy. Man Ther. 2010;15(3):235–9; doi: 10.1016/j.math.2009.12.005.